

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Or

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/667,763	09/22/2000	Jae-Choon Ryu	3430-0135P	8193	
75	90 01/26/2004		EXAM	INER	
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP P. O. Box 747			DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A		
	/A 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/667,763	RYU ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced to a second the control of the c	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in t	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) \boxtimes they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of t	inally rejected clair	ns.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	d amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NC	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •		and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 21-23, 26-27, 32-34.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	√N			
10. Other:	SUP	ROTERT H. KIN ERVISORY PATENT E TOPMOLOGY CENTER	XAMINER		

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 09/667,763

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's independent claim 21 now recites "and patterning an orientation pattern at a predetermined portion of the orientation material using a laser beam." The new limitation added to the independent claim raises new issues that would require further consideration and or search for the following reasons. Previously, claim 21 was drawn to predetermined portions as opposed to the now claimed predetermined portion. This new limitation was not foreseeable, especially since dependent claim 26 (which depends from claim 21) recites the plural predetermined portions. The new limitation in conjunction with the other recitations in the independent claim result in a new combination of elements that would require the Examiner to further search and consider the overall claimed subject matter. The Examiner also notes that claim 28 (now cancelled) was silent on the specific recitations of claim 21 and thus the new limitation of claim 21 was not foreseeable.